UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,920	07/30/2003	Kenneth J. Onion	530055.415	9614
500 7590 04/10/2007 SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVE			EXAMINER	
			PAYER, HWEI SIU CHOU	
SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA 98104		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	·	<u> </u>				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/631,920	ONION ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hwei-Siu C. Payer	3724			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilt 17 ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ma	arch 2007.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 8,9,11-15 and 19-26 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 19 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 20 and 24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 8,9,11-15,21-23,25 and 26 is/are objection(s) are subject to restriction and/or con Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 04 January 2006 is/are:	vn from consideration. cted to. election requirement.	d to by the Examiner.			
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the control of	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	pate			

Application/Control Number: 10/631,920

Art Unit: 3724

Detailed Action

The amendment filed on 3-2-2007 has been entered.

Claims Objection

Claims 8, 9, 11-15 and 24 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 2

(1) In claim 8, lines 7-9, "the blade is removed from the handle and the first side

is separable from, and rotatable relative to, the second side" is incorrect. The phrase

should read -- the first side is separable from and rotatable relative to the second side so

that the blade is removable from the handle--.

(2) Claim 15 is objected to since it depends from a canceled claim. It appears

claim 15 should depend from claim 8.

. (3) In claim 24, line 3, "may be" is indefinite. The phrase should be changed to --

can be--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,920

Art Unit: 3724

2. Claims 20 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Coburn (U.S. Patent No. 4,730,393) in view of Smith (U.S. Patent No. 1,049,931).

Coburn shows a knife (10) substantially as claimed except the knife blade (21) is

not removable.

Smith teaches it is desirable to provide a knife with a removable blade (5) that

has a blade tang including an inlet with a slot (9) configured to engage a retention post

(3) and for removably detaching the blade (5) from the knife handle (1,2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Coburn

by providing the blade (21) with an inlet slot to facilitate removal of the blade (21) from

the knife handle (11,12) for service as taught by Smith.

Indication of Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 8, 9 and 11-15 would be allowable if amended to overcome the objections

set forth.

2. Claim 19 is allowed.

3. Claims 21-23, 25 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/631,920

Art Unit: 3724

Page 4

Prior Art Citations

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Yerzley and Jennings are cited as art of interest.

Remarks

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 20 and 24 have been considered

but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Point of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hwei-Siu C. Payer whose telephone number is 571-272-

4511. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 am to

4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for

official communications and 571-273-4511 for proposed amendments.

H Payer

March 29, 2007

18-12 Payer

Grades Examination